

Docket No.: 67272-8072.US01  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Patent Application of:  
Ciancaglini et al.

Application No.: 09/994,475

Confirmation No.: 7235

Filed: November 26, 2001

Art Unit: 2613

For: MULTIPLE ACCESS PROTOCOL SYSTEM  
AND RELATED TECHNIQUES FOR MULTI-  
GIGABIT OPTICAL WAVELENGTH  
DIVISION MULTIPLEXED LOCAL AREA  
NETWORKS

---

Examiner: D. S. Kim

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


**RESPONSE TO NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES**

Sir:

In response to the notice dated January 27, 2009, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-2207, the total of \$240 for excess claims fees and any additional charges. A copy of the notice has been submitted herewith.

Dated: February 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Jordan M. Becker  
Registration No.: 39,602

CUSTOMER NO. 77042  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
P.O. Box 1208  
Seattle, Washington 98111-1208  
(650) 838-4300  
(650) 838-4350 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

67272-8072.US1  
JB/AE

RECEIVED  
PATENT DOCKETING

JAN 29 2009


PERKINS COIE LLP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

77042 e 01/27/2009

Perkins Coie LLP  
P.O. Box 1208  
Seattle, WA 98111-1208

Paper No.

Application No.:	09/994,475	Date Mailed:	01/27/2009
			
First Named Inventor:	Ciancaglini, Gene,	Examiner:	KIM, DAVID S
Attorney Docket No.:	67272-8072.US01	Art Unit:	2613
Confirmation No.:	7235	Filing Date:	11/26/2001

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DOCKETED TO CPI

- ☒ Deadline
- ☐ Follow up
- ☐ Previously
- ☐ Abandoned
- ☐ Transferred
- ☐ Dedocketed

227-09

Commissioner for Patents

<b>NOTICE REQUIRING EXCESS CLAIMS FEES</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/994,475	CIANCAGLINI ET AL.	
		<b>Art Unit</b>	
		2600	

The excess claim(s) filed on 09 January, 2009 is not accompanied by the appropriate payment of excess claims fees set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f). Excess claims fees are required for each claim in independent form in excess of three (§ 1.16(h)), each claim (whether dependent or independent) in excess of twenty (note that § 1.75(c) indicates how multiple dependent claims are considered for fee calculation purposes) (§ 1.16(i)), and each application that contains a multiple dependent claim (§ 1.16(j)).

Since the application is not under a final rejection, applicant is given a time period of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, to submit either: (1) the fee payment of \$ 240, or (2) an amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 that cancels the excess claim(s), in order to avoid ABANDONMENT. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37 CFR 1.136, unless the excess claim(s) was presented in a preliminary amendment.

- ☐ 1. The funds in Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☐ 2. The Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account \_\_\_\_\_ (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused. The balance is due within the time period set forth in this notice. See note below regarding the appropriate service charge.
- ☒ 3. The amendment that includes the excess claim(s) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (PTO/SB/06). Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set forth in this notice.
- ☐ 4. The fee submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(h)-(j) or 1.492(d)-(f)).
- ☐ 5. Other.

*Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):*

THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, GENERALLY ON OCTOBER 1 OF EACH YEAR (37 CFR 1.16, 1.21 & 1.492). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS DETERMINED AS OF THE DATE A COMPLETE REPLY WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEE(S) IS RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE (37 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE AMOUNT DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT APPLICANT CHECK THE CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE WHICH IS AVAILABLE ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm>

**Service Charges:** There is a \$50 service charge for processing each payment refused (including a check returned "unpaid") or charged back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). There is a \$25.00 service charge for each month when the balance of a deposit account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 CFR 1.21(b)(2)).

Technical Support Staff (TSS): /MARCIA J. GORDON/ Phone Number: (571)272-3003

**Note to TSS: Please do NOT use this notice if the application is under a final rejection.**